

NuBus: Recommended Board Placement for 24-bit Mode

Revised: 7/10/92 Security: Everyone

NuBus: Recommended Board Placement for 24-bit Mode

Article Created: 5 September 1991 Article Last Reviewed: 10 July 1992 Article Last Updated:

TOPIC -----

I've heard that the placement of NuBus boards in Macintosh IIci and IIcx computers can affect memory use and performance under System 7. Is it true that the boards should be placed contiguously from left to right in Macintosh IIcx computers, and from right to left in Macintosh IIci computers, to maximize memory performance?

DISCUSSION -----

RECOMMENDATIONS

Yes, there are recommendations as to where the NuBus cards should be placed. This has to do with heap fragmentation, not speed.

The following recommendations are valid only for 24-bit mode:

- The Macintosh IIcx should have the cards placed from left to right.
- The Macintosh IIci should have the cards placed from right to left.
- Any Macintosh with six slots should have the cards placed contiguously from either side.

BACKGROUND

The memory map of any Macintosh running in 24-bit mode is 8MB for RAM, 1MB for ROM, 6MB for slot space, and 1MB for I/O. This is in order from bottom to top as follows:

|----|

..TIL08700-NuBus-Recommended_Board_Placement_for_24-bit_Mode_(TA47095).pdf

I/O -----slot \$E slot \$D slot \$C slot \$A slot \$9 ------ROM RAM

There may be more memory than the amount that shows up as the largest unused block, and thus the amount available for an application to be loaded into, because the memory is fragmented. Say, for example, you had a Macintosh IIfx set for 13MB with virtual memory, with a card in slot \$C. Applications were then loaded one after the other that took 7MB of memory combined. The largest unused block would be 3MB, even though there were 6MB of memory available to use, as only 3MB of it was contiguous. The 1MB below ROM, as well as the 2MB from slots \$D and \$E, could be used, but only as 1MB and 2MB chunks, respectively.

This is the same thing we see when multiple applications are launched, and then one of the middle applications is quit. The memory that the middle application used is not added to the largest unused block.

In the example below, three applications, each using 2MB of memory are loaded. Assume for this example that virtual memory is not on. If you then quit from application 2, the largest unused block would be 2MB, even though 4MB is not being used. If you load another application that used 1MB of memory, you would have 2MB as the largest unused block, because the 2MB on top is still available. This is the same issue as with the NuBus slots and virtual memory. When a card is placed in a NuBus slot, it is the same as having an application permanently loaded in that space.

			-
I/O			
			-
s	lot	\$E	
s	lot	\$D	
s	lot	\$C	
s	lot	\$B	
s	lot	\$A	
s	lot	\$9	

With that in mind, on a Macintosh IIcx, the NuBus slots used are \$9, \$A, and \$B. Therefore, if you fill \$9 first, which is right next to ROM, you have 5MB of contiguous slot address space. If you fill \$A first, you have two chunks, one of 1MB of contiguous slot address space, and the other 4MB of contiguous slot address space, and thus 4MB would be the largest unused block.

A Macintosh IIci uses slot \$B for video RAM. The NuBus slots used are \$C, \$D, and \$E. If you are using built in video, it doesn't matter which way you load the cards. If you are not using built in video, using slot \$E first would give you the most contiguous slot address space.

On a Macintosh with six NuBus slots, it does not matter which direction you fill the slots from, but to get the most contiguous space, they should be loaded one next to the other.

The ordering of the NuBus cards solely affects heap fragmentation. This has NO effect on the speed of virtual memory. Once you load the applications, the speed is the same regardless of where you place the cards. Heap fragmentation gives you less contiguous memory to load things in, but it does not affect the system's speed.

Remember, this is true only in 24-bit mode. Once you go to 32-bit addressing, the whole address map changes, and this is no longer an issue.

Copyright 1991 Apple Computer, Inc.

Tech Info Library Article Number:8700